UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case 1	No.	EDCV 24-293-KK-DTBx	Date:	May 6, 2024	
Title:	Dar	vin Boggs v. Anwar Seder, et al.			
Present: The Honorable KENLY KIYA KATO, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE					
Noe Ponce			Not Reported		
		Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter		
At	ttorney	(s) Present for Plaintiff(s): Attorne	Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):		
		None Present	None Present		
Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Why Action Should Not Be Dismissed for Failure to Prosecute					
Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. See FED. R. CIV. P. 4(m). Generally, a defendant must answer the complaint within 21 days after service, or 60 days if the defendant is the United States. See FED. R. CIV. P. 12(a).					
In the present case, it appears that one or more of these time periods has not been met as to one or more defendant(s). Specifically:					
	Proof of service of the summons and complaint				
\boxtimes	Answer by the defendant or an application for entry of default pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(a)				
		Motion for default judgment set for hearing in accordance with the Local Rules and the Court's Standing Order			
	Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing on or before May 13, 2024 why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution as to those defendant(s).				

It is plaintiff(s)' responsibility to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiff(s) must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon the default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by this Court. <u>See</u> L.R. 7-1.

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a written response.

Plaintiff(s) are expressly warned that failure to timely file a response to this Order will result in this action being dismissed without prejudice as to one or more defendant(s) for failure to prosecute and comply with court orders. <u>See</u> FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.